

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bac 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,216	11/08/2001	Li Yang	791 170	5554
25191	7590 04:01/2005		EXAMINER	
BURR & BROWN			TSANG FOSTER, SUSY N	
PO BOX 7068 SYRACUSE.	NY 13261-7068	13261-7068	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	

DATE MAILED: 04/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-			///		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	the state of the s		
	10/009,216	YANG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susy N. Tsang-Foster	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addre	SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this comm ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08	8 March 2005.				
• •	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,9,17-19 and 25 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,9,17-19 and 25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Irawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	, <u>.</u>			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the pappli	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20041214. 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the after-final amendment filed on 3/8/2005.

Previous art rejections based on either Ono et al. (US 2001/0026890 A1) or Ono (Us Patent No. 6,495,067 B2) are withdrawn by the Examiner in view of applicant's persuasive arguments.

Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 2-8, 10-16, 20-24, and 26-28 have been cancelled. Claims 1, 9, 17-19, and 25 are pending and are rejected for reasons given below. This Office Action is made non-final as new grounds of rejection are made that are not necessitated by applicant's amendment.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 12/14/2004 has been considered by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 9, 17, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Asami et al. (US 5,489,492) and as evidenced by either Harada et al. (Us Patent No. 6,428,928 B1) or Shackle (US 2001/006749 A1).

Asami et al. disclose a lithium secondary battery comprising a positive electrode, a negative electrode, and a separator provided between the electrodes (col. 8, lines 49-56). The positive electrode is a composite electrode comprising an inorganic active material and an electroconductive polymer (col. 2, lines 45-65). The inorganic active material can be a transition metal oxide such as LiMn₂O₄ (typographical error in the reference as Li₂MnO₄) and the electroconductive polymer can be polypyrrole or polypyridine (col. 2, lines 45-57). The polypyrrole or polypyridine has a chemical structure that comprises a ring compound (the pyrrole or pyridine monomer units in the polymer) that comprises an organic base containing at least one nitride in a ring. The electroconductive polymer can be prepared by chemical polymerization by adding an oxidizer in a solution of monomers and then effecting oxidation and the oxidizer can be a protonic acid such as sulfuric acid (col. 3, lines 4-18). The negative electrode can be powdered or fibrous carbon (col. 8, lines 57-65). The electrolyte in the battery can be LiPF₆ (col. 9, lines 12-16).

Art Unit: 1745

Asami et al. also disclose that the form of the unit cell in the battery is not specifically limited and can be various types such as coin type, sheet type, cylinder type (wound type), rectangular type, etc. (col. 9, lines 45-50).

Asami et al. do not explicitly state that the protonic acid is unitarily combined with the polypyrrole or polypyridine during the oxidation process. However, the protonic acid such as sulfuric acid is complexed with the polymer in order to render it electrically conductive as is known by one of ordinary skill in the art and as evidenced by either Harada et al. (Us Patent No. 6,428,928 B1) or Shackle (US 2001/006749 A1).

Harada et al. disclose that when polymers such as polyacetylene and polypyridine become electrically conductive when they are oxidized electrochemically by doping with the polymer with a p-type dopant (col. 1, lines 15-25). Formula 1 in column 1 of the Harada et al. reference shows that polyphenylquinoxaline is doped with chloride ions in concentrated hydrochloric acid (col. 1, lines 49-66).

Shackle disclose that a dopant is selected to provide solubility to a polymer as well as to render the polymer conducting (paragraph 15). The conductive polymer can be polypyrrole (paragraph 14). Shackle also disclose that a p-type electro-active polymer is obtained by reacting the virgin polymer with oxidizing electron acceptor dopants where the electron acceptor dopants induce p-type conductivity in the polymer by oxidizing the polymer to a polycation and the dopant is reduced to a charge neutralizing anion (paragraph 47). If a polymer such as polyaniline is protonated by reaction with a functionalized protonic acid, and the virgin polymer is represented by P and the functionalized protonic acid is H⁺M⁻R where H⁺ is a proton and M⁻ is an anion and R is a solubilizing group (paragraph 51). The reaction with the polymer produces

Art Unit: 1745

HP⁺M⁻R which is equivalent to the oxidation of the polymer (paragraph 51). In the case of Asami et al., the polypyrrole is oxidized by the sulfuric acid and the counter anion (dopant) is the sulfate anion.

6. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Asami et al. (US 5,489,492) and as evidenced by either Harada et al. (US Patent No. 6,428,928 B1) or Shackle (US 2001/006749 A1) and further evidenced by Davidson et al. (US Patent No. 5,506,078).

Asami et al. as evidenced by either Harada et al. or Shackle disclose all the limitations of claim 18 (see above) except that the composition LiMn₂O₄ is a cubic spinel. However it is known to one of ordinary skill in the art that the composition LiMn₂O₄ inherently has a cubic spinel structure as evidenced by Davidson et al. which discloses that disclose that LiMn₂O₄ is a spinel type (which is cubic) structure (see abstract and col. 1, lines 38-47).

7. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asami et al. (US 5,489,492) and as evidenced by either Harada et al. (Us Patent No. 6,428,928 B1) or Shackle (US 2001/006749 A1) in view of Davidson et al. (US Patent No. 5,506,078).

Asami et al. as evidenced by either Harada et al. or Shackle disclose all the limitations of claim 18 (see above) except that the composition LiMn₂O₄ is a cubic spinel. If applicant disputes the formula of Asami et al., Davidson et al. teach that LiMn₂O₄ is used in a lithium batteries (see abstract and col. 1, lines 50-67).

Art Unit: 1745

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use in the lithium battery of Asami et al. because is a stable active material that is commercially available and provides a high energy density lithium battery.

It is known to one of ordinary skill in the art that the composition LiMn₂O₄ inherently has a cubic spinel structure as evidenced by Davidson et al. which discloses that disclose that LiMn₂O₄ is a spinel type (which is cubic) structure (see abstract and col. 1, lines 38-47).

8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asami et al. (US 5,489,492) and as evidenced by either Harada et al. (Us Patent No. 6,428,928 B1) or Shackle (US 2001/006749 A1) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Zhong et al. (US Pat. No. 5,700,597).

Asami et al. as evidenced by either Harada et al. or Shackle disclose all the limitations of claim 18 (see above) except that the lithium secondary battery has a capacity of 2 Ah or more.

Zhong et at '597 teach a lithium battery as a high energy density source for an electric vehicle (col. 1, lines 20-25).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the lithium secondary battery in the electric vehicle because a lithium secondary battery has high energy density, is light weight, and would not cause exhaust air polluting substances during the operation of the electric vehicle.

It would have also been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to produce a lithium secondary battery having a capacity of 2Ah or more in

Art Unit: 1745

order to operate a high energy consuming electronic device such as an electric vehicle since the power requirements of electronic devices differ and it would have been obvious to manufacture lithium batteries with varying capacities for different applications. A person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to and would be knowledgeable about how to scale up the amount of active material necessary in a lithium secondary battery in order to provide enough electricity to operate an electric vehicle or any other electronic device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 9, 17-19, and 25 have been considered 9. but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to examiner Susy Tsang-Foster, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-1293. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached at (571) 272-1292.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1745

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 8

St Ausy Isang Toster

Susy Tsang-Foster Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745